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Abstract

The digital era brings several enabling technologies such as Internet of Things, mobile and wireless technology networks. This along with the low cost of oil and gas are forcing Oil and Gas companies particularly, in the Middle-East to invest in Digital Oil Fields. These Digital Oilfields fundamentally change the way oil companies operate and allow them to benefit by increasing operational efficiency and increasing production. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the technologies that make up a Digital Oilfield, look at the benefits and the challenges of implementing Digital Oilfields.

The research for this paper used a systematic review, where a methodical approach was used to collect secondary data from journal articles and conference proceedings.

Digital Oilfields use technology such as improved sensors; mobile and wireless networks, internet of things, large storage capacity and advance analytical and modelling software. They integrate these technologies in a layered approach to increase operational excellence and production. Oil and Gas companies also benefit by improving the organizational learning and breaking down silos so information is easily accessed. This enables a creative and innovative culture and creates a competitive advantage that is not easily imitated.

Although Digital Oilfields Present Oil and Gas Companies with many benefits, they may face challenges during and after implementation. Due to Digital Oilfield’s changing the way Oil and Gas operate there may be changes in the organization structure. During the implementation project management needs to be effective and the governance of data and workflows must be enforced. Effective leadership is needed to ensure that there is a necessary change in the culture of the organization.

There should be further research to examine how the implementation of Digital Oilfields affect the lifestyles of employees and other benefits and challenges that might occur.

This paper looks at the introduction of Digitalization in the Oil and Gas Industry through the implementation of Digital Oilfields which is not fully understood.

I. Introduction

A. Background

Digital Oil Fields includes real-time optimization and extended improvements in team integration and asset risk reduction through the use of standard tools, domain experts, defined business processes and appropriate facility or space (Udofia & Obong, 2018). The collaboration between all the discipline sectors in an operator company can alter the way an Oil and Gas Company operates (Pickering & Sengupta, 2013) (Dickens, et al., 2012).

From 2016, the production of US Shale Oil has caused the global oil market to be a period of oversupply. This oversupply has caused a decline in oil prices and for many countries in the Middle-East and other OPEC member countries; this has led to lower earnings for their economies. There have also been significant capital expenditure cuts in exploration and production (OPEC, 2018). Due to these low prices many companies are seeking to improve the efficiency of existing fields, upgrade aging infrastructure and exploration in new areas (Modarress, et al., 2016).

(Ajmi, et al., 2014) believe that the countries in the Middle-East have economies are sensitive to changes in the oil price. Kuwait for example, where hydrocarbons account for almost half the GDP, has experienced 3.5% economic contraction in 2017 due to OPEC related Oil production cuts as a result of low oil prices (Worldbank, 2018). Saudi Arabia as well experienced a reduction in GDP the 1st half of 2017 as the crude oil production was reduced due to an OPEC arrangement. (Worldbank, 2017). In 2017, Oman’s economy was not helped back by low oil production and weak consumption which caused a reduction in the GDP. (Worldbank, 2017).

Low oil prices are being considered the new normal (El Mahmah & Kandil, 2019). The available technology is
allowing oil companies to invest in Digital Oilfields (DOF’s) because of the automated approach to data management, routine tasks in obtaining oil field production, instrumentation and equipment data. These new approaches lead to higher operational efficiencies, lower overheads and improved production which can lower OPEX and CAPEX for future investments (Gauder, et al., 2017).

Research on the Digital Oilfield is noteworthy and relevant as the business model of Oil Companies change and they have to overcome new challenges such as Major Skills Shortage in the Oil and Gas Industry; Oil and Gas discoveries in remote locations; Changing Technology Landscape; Reducing the HSE Risk; Improving Operational Efficiency and Fluctuation of Oil and Gas Prices (Udofia & Obong, 2018). Moon, (2009) agrees that DOF’s can help increase operational efficiency; operational cost reduction; reduction in capital expenses and increased reserves.

B. Rationale
Although the challenges are many, enablers have emerged that can be leveraged on to ensure business objectives are met, such as Improved Sensors, Mobile Technology, and Internet of Things; High-speed internet; ‘Big Data’ and large storage capacity; Advanced Analytical Modelling Methods (Udofia & Obong, 2018).

As these enablers become more prevalent and cheaper to obtain more companies will seek to implement digital techniques to optimize their operations. Understanding the benefits and challenges can aid these companies in the successful implementation of a digital oilfield. Kuusisto(2017) suggests that digitalization causes changes in the organizational structures, agility, learning and enables different processes of innovation.

This paper also uses the theories of Kuusisto (2017) to look at the organizational changes may be affected by the implementation of digital technologies such as DOF’s. It also analyses the organizational learning changes that may occur using the theories of Kuusisto (2017) and Peris-Ortiz, et al (2018).

Using the framework described by Udofia & Obong(2018) as shown in figure (1), this paper describes DOF’s in the context of People, Process and Technology.
wide variety of quality articles, journals to describe a critically analyze the theories and concepts behind Digitalization, Digital Technologies, Digital Oil Fields and how it affects an organization. Chapter 3 shows the method of analysis used to research the topics aims and objectives. It shows the search engines, websites, and journals used to obtain information on the topic. It clearly explains the evidence and findings. Chapter 4 is a discussion, which interprets the theories in the literature review and categorizes the findings in terms of benefits and challenges. It analyzes the data and theory and aims to fill some of the gaps that exist. The paper closes with a conclusion and recommendations. The aims and objectives are discussed, and the main arguments are highlighted to close the analysis. Suitable, Actionable and Feasible Recommendations for successful implementations of a DOF are then listed; to aid in new projects.

II. Literature review

A. Digital Technologies Required for a Digital Oilfield

According to Hagberg, et al. (2016) during their study of digitalization of the retail structure, they defined digitalization as the integration of digital technologies into a business. It can involve the integration of internet-connected devices that can interface between the employees and data. Digitalization is the process of adopting and implementing digital technologies in an organization or society. These technologies have replaced paper with computers and are now using the internet as a global communication infrastructure (Legner, et al., 2017). Parviainen, et al. (2017) agrees as digitalization or digital transformation changes the way an organization or business works on several levels including process, where new tools are adopted and procedures streamlined; organizational level, where new services can be offered and obsolete practices discarded; and business domain level, where there can be changes in roles and value chains.

The previously introduced definitions all indicate that digitalization is the adoption or integration of digital technologies to a company or organization through the use of internet-connected devices to use data to transform the way an organization operates through the streamlining and automation of processes, which can lead to organizational and business changes.

Digital technologies are key enablers to diversify and transform economies in the Middle-East and many programs are on the way to deliver digitalization (Accenture, 2016). Many digital programs are now being implemented in the Middle-East including digitizing oilfields to make them smarter (McKinsey, 2016). (Deloitte, 2017) indicates the many key issues associated with implementing digital projects in the Middle-East.

B. What is a Digital Oilfield?

According to Pickering (2013) when an Oil or Gas operator company wants to implement a Digital Oilfield they are interested in building collaboration and corporation throughout the disciplines and departments it has. Udofia & Obong (2018) states that a DOF is an Intelligent Energy concept, through the use of standard tools, domain experts, defined business processes and appropriate facilities it optimizes the asset(s). It uses real-time production data and team integration to gain improvements in asset performance and risk reduction. Coffin, et al. (2016) is in agreement with Udofia & Obong (2018) stating that a DOF turns data acquired from the field into decisions that optimize the asset’s or assets’ value. These are achieved by fostering collaboration and corporation using tools and practices such as automation of tasks, data management and the integration of the data into models (Coffin, et al., 2016).

DOF’s are part of a comprehensive strategy to enhance oil and gas production using Digital concepts. It involves well instrumentation to provide enhanced real-time data; upgraded power and communications infrastructure to support the network of wells, well instrumentation, well equipment and streaming of data and the integration of collaborative decision hubs to enrich the asset team’s integration and performance to shorten the observation to action cycle time (Jamal, et al., 2013). As can be seen, a DOF is a major consumer of information technology and requires the sourcing of reliable data, sorting and managing the data in an effective and efficient manner and ensuring that it reaches the operational decision makers as quickly as possible (Pickering, et al., 2015).

As can be seen, all writers indicate that a DOF is used to optimize production of oil and gas assets, foster collaboration of domain experts through the use of standard tools and workflows. The implementation of a DOF would require access to enhanced real-time data, power and communications and workflows to use this data to make decisions. It uses a combination of People, Processes and Tools to optimize the production profitability and lifespan of an oil and gas asset.
a) Digital Oilfields in the Middle-East
Petroleum Development Oman (PDO) is using a DOF connecting 2000 wells where real-time data is informing about Electric Submersible Pump Performance. They use diverse real-time data feeds into business rules to auto-generate Online Well Models. They have also developed Standard Operating Procedures (SOP’s) which have been embedded into PDO’s training for Production Operators and Engineers where rigorous scenarios are practiced in a class-room setting (Bimani, et al., 2019).

In Saudi Arabia, Saudi Aramco has a DOF implemented to help the management of their unconventional resource to achieve production excellence. The DOF provides cost-effectiveness acquiring data for accurate analysis thus enabling production forecasting and collaboration among departments. The DOF collects real-time data, remote monitoring, and predictive analysis to help achieve production targets. It promotes decision support by relying on high fidelity of input data, advanced analytics, and business processes. It also fosters better collaboration, data-driven decision-making and accountability (Hamad, et al., 2019).

In the Sabryah field in North Kuwait, a pilot project of 44 wells, representing 7% of the total well count was tested using a DOF to explore the benefits. The project included implementing well instrumentation to provide enhanced well data, upgraded power and communications infrastructure, creation of collaborative decision centers to automate work processes. This resulted in the improvement of the collection and accuracy of data, improvement of processes across different teams in varied locations and the improved effectiveness through automation to shorten the observation to action cycle time (Jamal, et al., 2013).

C. Benefits of a Digital Oil Field
A. People
Organizational Learning
Martinez-Leon & Martinez-Garcia (2011) believe that for organizational learning to occur knowledge generated from inside or outside of the organization has to be, stored, exploited and be involved in performance. The knowledge includes information, interpretation of that information; it is a critical source of organizational belief and culture. Peris-Ortiz, et al.(2018) agrees, stating that organizational learning allows a company to improve its capabilities, behaviours by using its common experiences and knowledge. Organizational Learning is strongly affected by leadership style and decision-making. According to Kuusisto(2017) digitalization affects the internal learning of a company by improving the access and analysis of knowledge such as putting data in an easily searchable form. It can provide several technologies that can improve learning capabilities, data retrieval techniques, and search engines to identify and retrieve information from knowledge banks. According to Pickering (2013) the DOF fosters collaboration between all the technical departments in the operator company. Udofia & Obong(2018) believe that for a DOF to be successful the right organizational design and culture to nurture organizational learning must be in place.

Umans et al.(2018) highlight the improvement of life satisfaction of employees due to digitalization in the banking sector. Digitalization has a positive relationship with subjective well-being but with life balance and satisfaction. It shows that information management gives a positive relationship to life balance but not to job satisfaction. Although Larjovuori, et al.(2016) believes that with the implementation of digital technology there are expectations of mastering learning and mastering complicated technologies which may increase workload and stress. There are also increased expectations of being available all of the time and there is a blurred line between work and free time.

B. Process
Converting Data to Information and to Knowledge
Dashti, et al.(2012) believes that production reliability would be helped by instrumented wells that acquire well reservoir data which would be fed into work routines which govern the processes. Coffin, et al.(2016) believes that a DOF can streamline mundane processes by automating repetitive tasks and calculations, it can change the way production engineers and technicians perform their duties. Using these automated workflows data can be converted to information. It uses technology such as wireless sensors placed in the field, Internet of Things and broadband technology to enhance production surveillance from being a routine task to being exceptions.

Al-Jasmi, et al.(2013) believes that a DOF transfers, monitors, visualizes, analyzes and interprets data in real-time and therefore large quantities of data are converted into real-time information at the right time. The processes needed to do this include data
integration, data federation, and software and workflow orchestration.

Coffin, et al. (2016) believe that a DOF turns oilfield operation data into information and knowledge sharing and this is done through the automation of tasks, integrating data into models and fostering collaboration. The first method to foster collaboration is to share information and knowledge. To track information and create knowledge data must be collected and managed via production surveillance. The status of the field must be known at all times and if an incident occurs that affects the production such as a shutdown or equipment failure it will be known immediately a can be tracked until the situation is rectified. A database of occurrences and a ticketing system can be applied to help track the status of incidents (Coffin, et al., 2016).

Leadership
Wiemers, et al. (2014) indicates that leadership is critical for the effective deployment, uptake, and sustainability of digitalization projects. They also suggest that communication is another key success factor. The culture of the organization also strongly affects the individual learning and sometimes the employees may feel threatened as their capabilities are challenged. DOF implementations also result in remote support, which reduces the need for a large field crew although it increases the need for team operational integration and situational awareness according to Udofia & Obong (2018).

According to Larjovuori, et al. (2016) the leadership required for the digital transformation success is transformational leadership, where members of the organization are involved in discussions about the development and competencies needed for the digitalization process. Top Management and other departments must work collaboratively to define roles and responsibilities. The strategic leadership must construct a clear and significant vision for the digitalization implementation and execute the correct strategies to actualize it. Although Sow (2018), believes that it takes more than one leadership style to have a successful implementation of digital technologies and not significantly disrupt operations. Transformational leadership was important and has a great impact on employee motivation and creativity; however, it was not deemed the only leadership style necessary for the successful implementation of a digital transformation. Sow (2018) further states that leaders must enable a strong organizational culture that promotes change but also provide stability. Leaders must also show characteristics such as emotional intelligence, motivation, and empowerment to have buy-in from employees for the implementation of digital technology.

Challenges of Digital Oilfield Implementations

People
Organizational Structure Changes Kuusisto (2017) believes that organizations structure has been changed as a result of digitalization due to information being accessible and transparent and available to all personnel. Kuusisto (2017) also believes that this allows the employee at lower levels to make more informed decisions. Foerster-Metz, et al. (2018) also agree that digitalization can give the management team many benefits, and improve interaction with the employees. They also indicate that to reap these benefits organizational structure must be changed. However, Foerster-Metz, et al.(2018) indicates that digitalization can affect the advancement of the careers of higher qualified resources. For tngaged and not happy with the new collaboration and remote methods of DOF’s and they feel threatened by the reliance on IT.

D. Process
According to Martinez (2019) for digitalization to improve there must be a willingness to improve the customer experience and process excellence in his study where they examined case studies from varied industries. He believes that process excellence is a mandatory factor for the introduction of new technologies and that digitalization can be implemented by using continuous improvement or by making radical changes, Business Process Re-engineering Martínez
Hagberg, et al. (2016) agrees with Martinez (2019) stating that the integration of digital technology can mean the slight transformation of previously existing activities and processes but can also mean the introduction of new processes and services.

a) Governance
The first step to implementing a DOF is engaging the appropriate decision makers and key stakeholders in the company. The domain experts are responsible for the establishment of standards, design and governance process, they must align the BU’s on the workflows and the development of the DOF (Bourgeois, et al., 2015; Dashti, et al., 2012) believes that to achieve the exploitation strategy, it’s best to collaboration from an expert team where the data is controlled by rules input to an application engine that governs the automation at the well site.

Tools and Applications of a Digital Oilfield
The technology required for a DOF includes infrastructure, software that must be reliable and maintained. For a DOF to work there is great reliance on access to real-time data for all stakeholders to make key decisions. The infrastructure required must be able to collect, transmit, convert data to information that must be visualized. It must allow the analyzed data and decisions to be delivered back to the field and there must be integration of applications data and visualization tools. The software required must be able to deal with terabytes of data, allow collaboration between the disciplines and have alarms and automated problem identification (Moon, 2009).

Udofia & Obong (2018) believes that improved sensors, Internet of Things, communications, bandwidth, large storage capacity and the ability to handle big data are now emerging as key enablers that can be used to ensure the success of a DOF. Although implementations and technologies can vary depending on various factors the technology used for the implementation of a DOF are sensors, communications, complex algorithms connected to automated valves. Pande, et al. (2010) suggests that a DOF is made up of sensors that send data to monitoring systems that are used to control the operations. The technology is made up of smart sensors, improved controls for the operating equipment, advanced communication, wireless technologies, connected information systems, software for collaboration. This technology allows the DOF to be managed from remote locations. These components of a DOF can be considered as enabling layers (Pande, et al., 2010) as shown in figure (1). This is agreed to by Allen & Smith(2012) stating that there are four levels of hierarchical architecture which are made up of measuring devices, instrumentation; telemetry and automation; operations control, data management, and processing and business level. The measuring devices are surface and subsurface devices to provide data. The telemetry represents logic devices the can be used for data transfer and control. Software, visualization, data storage, algorithms and automation programs are at the operations level. The business level is made up of higher-level visualization, reporting, decision support software advanced analytics and web-based applications.

In Kuwait Oil Company (KOC) Gas Development, group the DOF included components such as IT infrastructure, data management, business process and integration, visualization, security and system management software along with an architecture layer, which allowed scalability and interoperability (Dashti, et al., 2012).

III. Analysis
A. Systematic Analysis
The methodology used for this research was a systematic review where the literature was reviewed in a methodical manner and the data collected was synthesized in order to retrieve the findings (Hanley & Winter, 2013). According to Hanley & Winter (2013), the systematic review will have the following characteristics: clearly stated objectives; explicit, reproducible methodology; a systematic search to find all studies that meet the criteria; an assessment of the validity of the findings; and a systematic presentation of synthesis and findings of the studies.

Three databases were used for searching for the relevant data as shown in table 1. The following terms were used for the searches: ‘digitalization’ ‘digital oilfield’ ‘digital oil field’. Table 1 also shows the number of records obtained from each database.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Digitalization</th>
<th>Digital Oilfield</th>
<th>Digital Oil Field</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emerald</td>
<td>1901</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OnePetro</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>3098</td>
<td>11050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Scholar</td>
<td>20600</td>
<td>16900</td>
<td>276000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Showing the search engines, journals and searches used to obtain articles for this paper
a) Inclusion Criteria
The inclusion criteria included all articles from 2009 to present. The titles and abstracts were examined and once they were accepted the full text of the document was studied. The selection process is shown in figure (2) and shows that the initial search resulted in 83921 articles from the various search engines. After the first inclusion criteria were passed, 89 titles and articles were studied, resulting in 67 articles and the full-text document studied. 22 studies were left out because they were not consistent with the objectives of the study.

Finally, data from the selected studies were extracted using the research objective and analyzed using a thematic analysis

B. Analysis of Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topics</th>
<th>Literature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOF Technology</td>
<td>(Udofia &amp; Obong, 2018); (Jamal, et al., 2013); (Bimani, et al., 2019); (Feineman, 2014); (Desai, et al., 2017); (AbdulKarim, et al., 2010); (Foerster-Metz, et al., 2018); (Matzler, et al., 2018); (Wiemers, et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits</td>
<td>People</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process</td>
<td>(Crockett, 2008); (Sankaran, et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tools &amp; Applications</td>
<td>(Jamal, et al., 2013); (Udofia &amp; Obong, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challenges</td>
<td>People</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process</td>
<td>(Gilman &amp; Nordtvedt, 2014); (Udofia &amp; Obong, 2018); (Dickens, et al., 2012); (Cromton, 2015); (Udofia &amp; Obong, 2018); (Pickering &amp; Sengupta, 2013); (Wiemers, et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tools &amp; Applications</td>
<td>(Udofia &amp; Obong, 2018); (Raban Hauptman, 2018);</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Showing the summary of findings

a) Key Technology used in DOF’s
Udofia & Obong (2018) considers that DOF’s use the latest trends in digitalization and leverage on these technologies to as key enablers. Technologies such as smart sensors, mobile networks, improved bandwidth, connection backbone and quality video conferencing, Big Data and Large Storage Capacity, advanced analytical and modelling methods and Internet on things can all be utilized in the implementation of a DOF. Jamal, et al. (2013) indicates that the DOF is the collaborative environment where the technology allows the integration of the technical teams. The system would include data management for real-time data, collaborative work environments for the automation of workflows that would allow features such as alarm systems, real-time visualization, daily surveillance, and action identification

Gyara, et al. (2015) believes that for a DOF to be successful the framework must be built on a scalable and flexible architecture that supports time management, integrated workflows, and business decisions. This means the DOF architecture must include integration of relevant information from different sources, it must deliver information to the right people at the right time and enable collaboration between disciplines and drive informed actionable decisions. Gyara, et al. (2015) believes that a DOF must have the following framework as shown in figure (3).
This framework includes a comprehensive data management layer; an engineering modelling suite; workflow automation engine; analytics library and engine; and advanced visualization and collaborative environments. Pande, et al.(2010) agrees considering that the smart sensors, improved controls for operating equipment, advanced communications, wireless technologies, connected information systems, and collaborative environments all have to come together in enabling layers to create a DOF that can be managed remotely.

A fully functional DOF would require several components of hardware, software, and processes that are integrated. These include hardware such as smart sensors, mobile and communication equipment and networks to improve the data transmission capabilities. The data transmitted would need to be managed using a robust data management framework customized for real-time data and allowing employees to work in a collaborative work environment where workflows can be automated.

Feineman (2014) believes that a DOF moves a company from having siloed workflows to having automated predicted workflows as shown in figure (A1) Appendix A and that the maturity of the DOF depends on where they are on this framework which includes People, Process and Technology along with strategy and governance.

**DOF's in the Middle-East**

1) **DOF Oman**

PDO Oman is using a DOF to connect 2000 wells where real-time data is used to collect data to inform about the performance of the Electric Submersible Pumps (ESP) performance. They use diverse real-time which feeds into business rules to auto-generate online well models. They also develop standard operating procedures (SOP’s). These work procedures have also been embedded into PDO’s training for Production and Operations Engineers where rigorous scenarios are practiced in a classroom setting (Bimani, et al., 2019).

2) **DOF Saudi Arabia**

In Saudi Arabia, a DOF has been implemented to help in the management of the unconventional resource to achieve production excellence. The DOF provided cost efficiencies by enabling production forecasting, collaboration, and data for accurate analysis. The DOF will collect real-time data allow remote monitoring and predictive analysis to help achieve production targets. It will provide decision support by relying on the high fidelity of input data, advanced analytics, and business processes. It will also foster better collaboration, data driven decision-making and accountability (AbdulKarim, et al., 2010).

3) **DOF Kuwait**

In the Sabryah field in Kuwait a pilot project where 44 wells were tested using a DOF to explore its benefits. The project included implementing smart sensors to provide enhanced real-time data, upgraded power, and communications infrastructure; creation of a collaborative decision centers and automated work processes, and this resulted in the improvement of the collection and accuracy of data, improvement of processes across different teams in different locations and the increase in effectiveness through automation and shorten the observation to action cycle (Jamal, et al., 2013).

It is clear that DOF’s benefit an oil company by addressing many issues including people, safety and processes. DOF’s can address the major skills shortage in the oil industry (Camps, 2015), operating in environmentally sensitive or dangerous areas such as deserts and areas affected by war. DOF’s use technology that connects the wells to the office constantly and data is streamed consistently making it more accurate, which leads to more accurate models. Once abnormal readings occur alarms can be raised and there can be a faster response to problems. As a result, there can be improved reservoir or oil and gas production, improved facilitation of teams and knowledge sharing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burgan</td>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>9 MBPOD (Thousand Barrels of Oil per Day)</td>
<td>(Desai, et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabriyah</td>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>34% Increase in MBPOD</td>
<td>(Jamal, et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oman</td>
<td>Optimize Drilling Operations and Well</td>
<td>Increased runtime of equipment and performance, increased accuracy of readings and tests, pattern recognition and automation</td>
<td>(AbdulKarim, et al., 2019)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Summary of the Benefits of Digital Oilfields in Kuwait, Oman and Saudi Arabia

b) Benefits achieved through the use of DOF’s
Udofia & Obong (2018) also believes that DOF’s address the following challenges in the Oil and Gas sector, major skills shortage, discoveries in remote locations, changing technology landscape and the reduction in risk and reduction in oil and gas prices. According to Udofia & Obong (2018) the benefits of successful DOF implementations result in production optimization; availability of remote support resulting in the reduction in risk exposure; extension of the life of the field; reduction of the field crew; reduced Non Productive Time; reduction in risk exposure and increased operational integration.

People
DOF’s can act as Digital learning platforms, where this can improve the company’s internal education by hosting tailored training and development programs for employees and leaders, where they can attend the training in alignment with their work schedules, matched with their self-pacing and choice of topic (Foerster-Metz et al., 2018). This is agreed by Matzler, et al. (2018) who states that Organizational learning has become easier as digitalization has vastly increased the amount of available information and ease of boundary-spanning activities. Wiemers, et al. (2014) also indicates that building on institutional knowledge by quickly transforming data to knowledge is a key benefit of DOF’s

Process
DOF’s allow oil and gas companies to do work faster cheaper and better (Crockett, 2008). Crockett (2008) suggests that DOF’s require real-time data and with this data comes accuracy. Crockett (2008) believes that the real-time data allows Oil & Gas companies to carry out daily hydrocarbon allocation in a more precise manner. With real-time data Oil and Gas companies can create more accurate models and faster response to problems by using exception-based analysis of data. The data can be integrated to ensure users have access to the same data.

With the implementation of a DOF Sankaran, et al. (2009) believes that processes can now be done using an integrated approach by linking technology with workflows and departments to form comprehensive business solutions and increase organizational capabilities.

Tools and Applications
Jamal, et al. (2013) considers that the implementation of a DOF’s in Kuwait resulted in the refreshing of technology in the field. This has resulted in improved instrumentation, updated power, and communications and platforms including software to increase the effectiveness through the automation to shorten the observation to action. Udofia & Obong (2018) agrees, indicating that improved sensors and communications; upgraded power and communications infrastructure; collaborative decision centers to deal with big data. This can result in revamped organizational models and smarter supply chain processes.

c) Challenges of Successful Implementations of DOF’s
Dickens, et al. (2012) believes that the implementation of a DOF causes a technology-driven change and as a result will therefore, cause several challenges such as resistance to change the industry practices, demands for proof of the product; and sustaining and tracking of the on-going value delivery. Udofia & Obong (2018) agrees, that there are technology, data, and people challenges with the implementations of a DOF. It is clear that the main challenges with DOF implementations are based on technology, data and people.

People
According to Gilman & Nordtvedt (2014) people are a serious challenge to DOF’s or intelligent ways of working as it may disrupt their individual ways of doing things and they may see DOF implementations as a threat. Udofia & Obong (2018) agrees people’s resistance to change is a critical barrier to DOF
implementations along with having a poor organizational structure and team alignment are also factors that can affect the implementation of a DOF. Dickens, et al.(2012) also indicates that there may be practical challenges such as employee claiming that their asset or area of interest is unique, the project team has competing initiatives and the staff is lacking ownership of the project. Cromton (2015) describes another challenge with knowledge transfer considering the need to replace the experienced workforce in the Oil and Gas Industry. The experienced workforce is leaving with a great deal of knowledge and experience acquired through physical learning while the new workers are digital natives Cromton (2015). Based on the arguments of all writers’ people are the biggest hurdles when dealing with DOF implementations and for successful implementations all aspects of people challenges must be addressed.

IV. Discussion

A. What Technology is Required for DOF Implementation?

A DOF is a platform that leverages the application of engineering workflows that can replace human work with more efficient higher quality automated work that can provide proactive operational and optimization advice. This is a result of DOF’s using high frequency and high volume data (Al-Jasmi, et al., 2013).

The rudimentary outline of application components within the industrial systems environment includes data historian, data visualization, complex calculation engine, target setting and alerting capability and advanced optimization and control applications.

The architecture for DOF’s in Saudi Arabia includes technology applied in 4 main layers, the surveillance layer, integration layer, the optimization layer, and the innovation layer. The surveillance layer monitors the field using real-time data; it includes components such as data acquisition; delivery systems; data management and alarming tools; data filtration; compression and aggregation. The integration layer provides tools where behaviour patterns can be constantly monitored and inconsistencies detected. It includes data management and integration; process mapping and workflow development; monitoring detection systems; visualization and analysis systems and collaboration environments. The optimization layer is a streamlined environment that allows the integration of various tools in a plug and play setting. The innovation layer enables the subject matter experts to have dialogue and collaboration; it converts data to knowledge and can give the company a competitive advantage over its rivals (AbdulKarim, et al., 2010).

In Kuwait, the implementation of the DOF included installation of pressure sensors, temperature sensors, and other instrumentation as well as data transmission infrastructure to measure the performance of wells. The surveillance eventually leads to a road map for effective well management including candidate identification, action identification, implementation and post job analysis (Jamal, et al., 2013). According to (Bimani, et al., 2019) in Oman, the enabling layers for the establishment of the DOF have been completed and they are looking at innovation through analytical tools.
for predictive models on pumps and other equipment essential to the optimization of the oilfields.

The DOF’s of Saudi Arabia and Kuwait are consistent with the model described by (Gyara, et al., 2015) and (Pande, et al., 2010) where both writers indicate that DOF’s are implemented using enabling layers of data acquisition, access of information, information usage and collaboration as shown in figure (3). Based on the model described by (Feineman, 2014) which describes the maturity of DOF’s shown in figure (1) Appendix A, the DOF’s of Saudi Arabia and Oman are more mature than the DOF’s of Kuwait as in Saudi Arabia the SME’s are allowed to innovate and give the company competitive advantages (Abdul Karim, et al., 2010) and in Oman they are using analytical tools to develop models to predict the performance of equipment, giving more optimization and adding value to the organization.

B. What are Benefits of Implementing a DOF?
In the Middle-East, there are several examples of DOF’s in Kuwait, Oman, and Saudi Arabia. In Oman DOF they have realized increases of 2% in production in 18 months and have identified oil gains of nearly 6% due to the automation of workflows. They have also gained 30% net oil gains by changing designs in some wells and reduced downtime because of intelligent alarms (Bimani, et al., 2019). In Kuwait’s Sabriyah field, there was full integration of the main components of the production system. Alarm systems were implemented and online and real-time tools for surveillance of oil production and equipment was implemented. This resulted in oil gains of 37% during the period of evaluation.

a) People
Apart from the gains in efficiency and production, the implementation of DOF’s has increased the opportunities for organizational learning in oil and gas companies in the Middle-East as Matzler, et al.(2018) believes digitalization vastly increased the amount of available information and ease of boundary-spanning activities. This is extremely important in the Oil and Gas industry as the according to Udofia & Obong (2018) there is a crew change occurring in the industry and there is a shortage of skills available. Increasing organizational learning can help younger engineers gain competencies faster.

The characteristics of a learning organization will help managers and employees meet these challenges by providing them tools to pursue a creative vision, learn and work together effectively, and adapt to change (Yadav & Agarwal, 2016). Mayo (2007) also agrees that in a learning organization the processes allow the employees to participate in learnings at all levels. Although (Grieves, 2008) believes that a learning organization is impractical and unobtainable, the implementation of a DOF can help in changing behaviour’s similar to a learning organization by breaking silos and making information readily available for engineers at all levels to make more informed decisions.

Although studies indicate that the implementation of digital technology has both positive and negative effects on lifestyle. There was no evidence to indicate that the implementation of a DOF positively or negatively affected the stakeholders or employees of the Oil and Gas firms in the Middle-East.

b) Process
The results show that the implementations of a DOF’s in Kuwait, Oman, and Saudi Arabia have resulted in considerable production gains, increased efficiency of wells and equipment. The implementation has resulted in faster processes, more up to date and accurate models, collaborative workflows and better decision-making. The DOF’s in the Middle-East are well on their way to having a positive return on investment.

This is consistent with the findings of Udofia & Obong (2018) where the implementation of DOF’s have resulted in increased production optimization, availability of remote support and reduced Non Productive Time. (Feineman, 2010) agrees, arguing that the implementation of DOF’s has improved reservoir management due to the effective use of surveillance data allowing more time for intervention and planning for problems, improved staff efficiency, and improved teamwork. Crockett (2008) also agrees, adding that it allows processes to be done faster and more accurately due to the collaborative decision-making and there may be hidden benefits still not realized.

c) Tools and Applications
Enabling the technology associated with a DOF would help an Oil and Gas Company develop end to end business connectivity and once information is available in the system, collaboration tools based on different applications can provide decision support for engineers. The technology allows the data and people to work in a collaborative and centralized structure, breaking down silos (Pande, et al., 2010). According to Gyara, et al. (2015) implementing technology can provide oil and
Gas Company with a competitive advantage as it is able to adjust operations while producing to optimize profitability.

The breaking down of the silos allows engineers and stakeholders to have more access to data and information where this can be converted to knowledge and allows them to update models faster and more accurately along with making better decisions.

C. What are the Challenges of Implementing a Digital Oilfield?
In the middle-east there are many challenges to digitalization that may affect the implementation of a DOF. A lot of these challenges affect digitalization projects on the whole and will have to be overcome by Oil and Gas companies to obtain the benefits.

According to Deloite (2017) the key challenges to the implementation of digitalization projects in the Middle-East are that critical skills are not available internally; ownership of the projects are not clear; basic infrastructure is not always in place; individuals and groups do not want share data; lack of infrastructure across teams inhibits agility and efficiency; and technology and software may not be customer-centric. Kuusisto (2017) believes that digitalization also causes changes in organizational structures as the hierarchical organizations will be decreasing as organizations now will strive for flatness and agility. Kuusisto (2017) also believes that organizational learning will also become easier to achieve because of digitalization.

The literature review indicates that there are many challenges to implementing DOF’s; these challenges or barriers can be broken down in categories such as technology issues, project implementation issues, and people issues.

a) People
Kuusisto (2017) and Foerster-Metz, et al. (2018) both indicate that the digitalization causes changes to organizational structure as they cause organizational flattening as more employees have a voice and can make decisions. To support this digital transformation and the implementation of a DOF, oil and gas companies need to shift from their traditional, hierarchical structure to a flexible, decentralized organization with a team/project-oriented leadership to keep pace with the complex and fast-changing environment (Foerster-Metz, et al., 2018). Although this augers well for employees on the lower level it can affect older employees and field personnel according to (Udoﬁa & Obong, 2018) and (Pickering & Sengupta, 2013) where resistance to change is common. In order to overcome this barrier, Pickering (2013) believes that there should be an alliance by oil companies to come up with the best practices for the DOF’s and also projects should be clearly deﬁned and the business objectives made known to the stakeholders (Udoﬁa & Obong, 2018).

The successful implementation of a DOF requires effective leadership to communicate to the stakeholders; enforce and sustain the new processes; and alter the new culture of the organization. Sow (2018) believes that leadership culture and local management style variations from one asset to the next must be considered to plan and execute an effective approach for change behaviour, process and workflow transformation. Wiemers, et al. (2014) agree that leaders must demonstrate a critical understanding of this process and be willing to embrace change, along with understanding that when they align their leadership characteristics with the change management strategy, it is likely to be more effective. A variety of leadership characteristics are necessary to ensure that the change can be accomplished and these may not align with a specific leadership style (Wiemers, et al., 2014).

b) Process
Udoﬁa & Obong (2018) believes that DOF projects suffer from many issues during implementation such as poor project framing and weak definitions, poor resolution time to fix issues and lack of feedback and post-implementation reviews. Sankaran, et al. (2009) agrees that project management is important to the implementation of DOF projects as it can affect multiple departments and groups. Barth & Koch (2019) also considers that project management is a key success factor in the implementations of ERP’s such as DOF’s. To ensure the success of a DOF implementation there must be strong project management where the scope of the project is well detailed and the project team defined.

Implementation of a DOF can cause issues in governance both in the implementation and after the project is delivered. Lappi & Aaltonen (2016) believes
V. Conclusion

This paper discusses the digital oilfields in the Middle-East with emphasis on Oman, Saudi Arabia, and Kuwait. The main purpose of implementing the digital oilfield in this region was to improve operational efficiency and improve equipment longevity. As low oil prices are prevalent and the technology becomes more prevalent Oil and Gas Companies have invested in DOF’s to improve operational efficiency, lower overheads and improve production.

DOF’s have benefitted from the advancement of modern technology such as Improved Sensors, Mobile Technology, and Internet of Things; High-speed internet; ‘Big Data’ and large storage capacity; Advanced Analytical Modelling Methods. The main purpose of the DOF is to integrate data from different sources to deliver this data to the different departments at the right time. The architecture for a DOF would include enabling layers of data collection tools, a data management layer, an integrated engineering modelling suite of software and an advanced visualization and collaborative layer.

There are many benefits to implementing a DOF including improving organizational learning, faster updating of models leading to efficiency and production gains and end to end connectivity which breaks silos of information across departments. DOF’s make data and information more readily available to staff. This openness of information can encourage creativity and innovation and can increase the capability of the Oil and Gas Company giving them a competitive advantage that is difficult to copy.

There were also many challenges to the implementations of DOF’s such as changes in the organizational structure, leadership, project management, governance and resistance to change. DOF’s causing changes in the organization structure of a company as the structure becomes less hierarchal and decentralized. To facilitate this change, effective leadership is necessary to change the culture of the organization. Proper project design and communication must be put in place to ensure there is buy-in from all stakeholders as well a proper governance procedure both before and after the DOF is implemented.

Further research is necessary to investigate how the implementation of a DOF affects the lifestyles of employees and what further benefits and challenges may arise when they are implemented.

VI. Recommendations

DOF’s have proven to be beneficial to oil and gas companies in the Middle-East as they have optimized production, increased operational efficiency and give the companies competitive advantages through the use of analytical tools. There are some challenges that must be overcome to ensure the successful implementation of a DOF.

A. People

To ensure that there is buying by the employees and stakeholders; there must be effective leadership and communication. The leadership should practice transformational leadership as it enhances morale and the performance of the employees by connecting the
employees to the project. This challenges the employees to take larger ownership of the project (Odumeru & Ogbonna, 2013). The information of the project should also be communicated early to all stakeholders including employees, partners and regulatory bodies as this can ensure buy-in and dispel rumours about the project (Sankaran, et al., 2009).

B. Process
The successful implementation of the DOF will require effective project management and will need effective governance and change management to ensure the project is successful after the DOF has become operational. The project scope and project team should be well defined and stakeholders engaged (Sankaran, et al., 2009). The governance procedures for the project should be well defined and documented as with the implementation of a DOF there would be many changes such as increased data volume; and faster, automated workflows (Lappl & Aaltonen, 2016). This can result in a lack of understanding by the staff. Governance and change management procedures must be in ensuring the smooth transition to the new working environment.

C. Tools and Applications
With the implementation of a DOF, there is a reliance on technology such as the Internet of Things and Communication Networks and as a result, the DOF is at risk to cyber-attack. To ensure that this risk is mitigated there should be a legal framework to deal with cyber-attack in the jurisdiction of the implementation (Hathaway, et al., 2011).

The implementation of a DOF is a very expensive venture as it embarks on changing technology such as sensors, infrastructure, and software. To ensure the success of the project the oil and gas company should build a comprehensive business justification and business case. This will be to justify costs to the shareholders and stakeholders of the project (Sankaran, et al., 2009).

With the implementation of a DOF, there would be changes in infrastructure, software and as a result. There would be resistance from the staff to embrace the new technology and processes. There should be a training program to ensure that there is an uptake of the new technology and workflows (Pickering & Sengupta, 2013).
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